

17/05/2010 Mon

Distribution:

$F \in \mathcal{D}'(O)$ is a functional $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(O) \mapsto \langle F, \varphi \rangle$
 $O \subset \mathbb{R}^d$

Tempered distribution:

$F \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a functional $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d) \mapsto \langle F, \varphi \rangle$.

Note $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d) \subseteq \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

$\mathcal{D}'(O_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(O_2)$ if $O_2 \subseteq O_1$,
restriction map.



If $F \in \mathcal{D}'(O)$ or $F \in \mathcal{S}'$ then

$$\left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} F, \varphi \right\rangle := - \left\langle F, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \varphi \right\rangle$$

Definition.

If $F \in C^\infty(O)$ then $F \in L'_{loc} \llcorner$ so gives a distribution &
 $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} F$ (in the sense of distr) = L'_{loc} -function $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} F$

(defined as in Analysis).

We now define the Fourier-transform of tempered distributions.

Recall $\widehat{\mathcal{S}} = \mathcal{S}$, $\int f \hat{g} dx = \int \hat{f} g dt$

Def: If $F \in \mathcal{S}'$ then we define $\widehat{F} \in \mathcal{S}'$ by

$$\langle \widehat{F}, \varphi \rangle = \langle F, \widehat{\varphi} \rangle$$

$\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$

Rk: If $F \in L'$ then we already defined \widehat{F} but

we know $\int F \widehat{\varphi} = \int \widehat{F} \varphi$
 \llcorner defined as before

$$\int F \widehat{\varphi} = \langle F, \widehat{\varphi} \rangle$$

$$\int \widehat{F} \varphi = \langle \widehat{F}, \varphi \rangle$$

If $F \in L^2$ then we already defined $\widehat{F} \in L^2$ &

$$\begin{aligned} \langle F, \widehat{\varphi} \rangle &= \int F \widehat{\varphi} dx \stackrel{\text{distribution}}{=} \langle F, \widehat{\varphi} \rangle_{L^2} = \langle \widehat{F}, \widehat{\widehat{\varphi}} \rangle_{L^2} \\ &= \int \widehat{F} \widehat{\widehat{\varphi}} = \langle \widehat{F}, \varphi \rangle_{\text{dist.}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \widehat{F}^{\text{dist.}} = \widehat{F}^{L^2}$$

\widehat{F} is again continuous: b/c $\varphi_n \rightarrow \varphi$ in \mathcal{S}
 $\Rightarrow \widehat{\varphi}_n \rightarrow \widehat{\varphi}$ in \mathcal{S}

$$\Rightarrow \langle \widehat{F}, \varphi_n \rangle = \langle F, \widehat{\varphi}_n \rangle \rightarrow \langle F, \widehat{\varphi} \rangle = \langle \widehat{F}, \varphi \rangle$$

12. Sobolev spaces, and elliptic regularity, approach
via \mathcal{S}' .

(Folland, Real Analysis).

Def: $H_k(\mathbb{R}^d) = \{ f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) : f \text{ has } L^2\text{-partial derivatives for all } \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d \text{ with } |\alpha| \leq k \}$

$f_j \in L^2$ is an L^2 -der. for $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$ if $\int f_j \varphi = - \langle f, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \varphi \rangle, \varphi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$

Which norm should we use?

Maybe $\sqrt{\sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} f \right\|_2^2}$

We will use

$$\| (1 + \|\cdot\|^2)^{k/2} \widehat{f}(\pm) \|_{L^2} = \| f \|_{H_k}$$

Lemma: If $F \in \mathcal{S}' \Rightarrow \widehat{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} F} = i \widehat{F}$

PF: $\langle \widehat{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} F}, \varphi \rangle = \langle \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} F, \widehat{\varphi} \rangle = - \langle F, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \widehat{\varphi} \rangle$

$$= - \langle F, \widehat{-\sum i_j t_j \varphi} \rangle = \langle \widehat{F}, \widehat{\sum i_j t_j \varphi} \rangle \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \langle \sum i_j t_j \widehat{F}, \varphi \rangle$$

If $f \in \mathcal{H}_k^{(k, s)}$ as defined above, then $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} f$ exists in L^2 .

Hence this L^2_{loc} -fn is the tempered distr. defined by $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} f \Rightarrow$ by the lemma

$$\widehat{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} f} = \sum i_j t_j \widehat{f} \in L^2$$

$$1 + \sum |t_j| \approx (1 + \|t\|)^{1/2}$$

$$\sum_{|k| \leq k} |t^k| \approx (1 + \|t\|^2)^{k/2}$$

Def. Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$ then we define

$$H_s(\mathbb{R}^d) = \{ f \in \mathcal{S}' \mid (1 + \|t\|^2)^{s/2} \widehat{f} \in L^2, \widehat{f} \in L^2_{loc} \}$$

$$\|f\|_{H_s} = \|(1 + \|t\|^2)^{s/2} \widehat{f}\|_{L^2}$$

If $s \geq 0$ then

$$H_s(\mathbb{R}^d) \longrightarrow H_0(\mathbb{R}^d) = L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \quad \text{cts}$$

$$\|f\|_{L^2} = \|\widehat{f}\|_{L^2} \leq \|(1 + \|t\|^2)^{s/2} \widehat{f}\|_{L^2} = \|f\|_{H_s}$$

excs for which $s, \delta_0 \in H_s$.

$$\text{if } s \geq k \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad H_s(\mathbb{R}^d) \longrightarrow H_k(\mathbb{R}^d) \quad \& \quad \|f\|_{H_k} \leq \|f\|_{H_s}$$

Sobolev embedding thm:

Thm: Suppose $s > k + \frac{1}{2}d$. Then

$$a) f \in H_s \text{ then } \left(\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial x^\alpha} f \right)^\wedge \in L^1, \quad |\alpha| \leq k$$

$$\text{and } \left\| \left(\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial x^\alpha} f \right)^\wedge \right\|_{L^1} \leq C_{k, s, d} \|f\|_{H_s}$$

$$b) H_s \subseteq C_0^k \quad \& \quad \text{continuity of inclusion.}$$

Pf:

$$(2\pi)^{-|\alpha|} \int \left| \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial x^\alpha} f \right| dt = \int |t^\alpha \hat{f}| dt \leq \left(\int (1+|t|^2)^s |\hat{f}(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \cdot$$

$$\cdot \left(\int \frac{|t^\alpha|^2}{(1+|t|^2)^s} dt \right)^{1/2}$$

\uparrow
 $\|f\|_{H^s} < \infty$

$$s > 0 \Rightarrow f \in L^2, \hat{f} \in L^2$$

$$s > k \Rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} f \in L^2 \text{ for } |\alpha| \leq k$$

$$\left(\int \frac{|t^\alpha|^2}{(1+|t|^2)^s} dt \right)^{1/2} \ll \left(\int \frac{1}{(1+|t|^2)^{s-k}} dt \right)^{1/2} < \infty \text{ since } 2(s-k) > d$$

Since $s > k + \frac{1}{2}d$, $k \geq 0$, we have

$$\|\hat{f}\|_{L^1} < \infty \Rightarrow f \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^d) \text{ a.e.}$$

$$\text{Also, } \|t_j \hat{f}\|_{L^1} < \infty \text{ (if } k \geq 1)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} f \text{ exists } \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

by Prop 9.10

20/05/2010 Thu

Recall: If $x^\alpha \varphi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for $|\alpha| \leq k$ then

$$\hat{\varphi} \in C_0^k, \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial t^\alpha} (\hat{\varphi}) = [(2\pi i t)^\alpha \varphi]^\wedge, |\alpha| \leq k$$

Recall: for $s \in \mathbb{R}$

$$H_s(\mathbb{R}^d) = \{ f \in \mathcal{S}' \mid \hat{f} \in L^1_{loc}, \{(1+|t|^2)^{s/2} \hat{f}(t)\} \in L^2 \}$$

$$\|f\|_s = \|(1+|t|^2)^{s/2} \hat{f}\|_{L^2}$$

Lemma $s \geq k \geq 1$

$\Rightarrow f \in H_s$ implies f has L^2 -part. der. up to order k .

In fact,
$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} f\right)^\wedge = 2\pi i t_j \hat{f}(\underline{t})$$

Pf: Define $f_j = (2\pi i t_j \hat{f})^\vee \in L^2$ by assumption. $s \geq 1$.

$$\langle f_j, \varphi \rangle = \langle 2\pi i t_j \hat{f}, \varphi^\vee \rangle \quad \boxed{\text{since } \int \hat{F} \varphi = \int F \check{\varphi}}$$

$$\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$$

$$= \langle \hat{f}, 2\pi i t_j \varphi^\vee \rangle = \langle f, -(-2\pi i t_j \varphi^\vee)^\wedge \rangle$$

$$= \langle f, -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (\varphi^\vee)^\wedge \rangle = -\langle f, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \varphi \rangle$$

□

$$[f \in H_s(\mathbb{R}^d) \Rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} f \in H_{s-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)]$$

Lemma: If $F \in \mathcal{S}'$

$$\Rightarrow \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} F\right)^\wedge = 2\pi i t_j \hat{F} \quad \square$$

Thm (Sobolev Embedding Thm).

If $s > k + \frac{1}{2}d$ then $H_s \hookrightarrow C_0^k$ and the inclusion map is continuous.

Pf: $k=0$ we only demand $s > \frac{1}{2}d \iff \int \frac{1}{(1+t^2)^s} dt < \infty$

$$f \in H_s \Rightarrow (1+|t|^2)^{s/2} \hat{f} \in L^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{f} \in L^1 \Rightarrow f = \underbrace{(\hat{f})^\vee}_{\in \mathcal{S}'} \in C_0$$

Cor: If $f \in H_s(\mathbb{R}^d) \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}$ (or $s \in \mathbb{N}$) then $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$

Thm Elliptic regularity for Δ on \mathbb{R}^d

If $F \in \mathcal{S}' \cap H_s$ and $\Delta F \in H_s$, then $F \in H_{s+2}$

[Note: $\langle \Delta F, \varphi \rangle = \langle F, \Delta \varphi \rangle$]

Ps: $F \in \mathcal{S}' \cap H_s \Rightarrow (1 + \|\underline{t}\|^2)^{s/2} \widehat{F} \in L^2$ (*)

~~$\Delta F \in H_s \Rightarrow (1 + \|\underline{t}\|^2)^{s/2} \widehat{\Delta F} \in L^2$~~

$$\Delta F \in H_s \Rightarrow (1 + \|\underline{t}\|^2)^{s/2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^d t_j^2 \right) \widehat{F}(\underline{t}) \in L^2$$

roughly $(1 + \|\underline{t}\|^2)^{\frac{s+2}{2}} \widehat{F}(\underline{t})$

$$(*) \Rightarrow \widehat{F} \Big|_{B_1^{\mathbb{R}^d}(0)} \in L^2$$

$$\Downarrow$$

$$(1 + \|\underline{t}\|^2)^{\frac{s+2}{2}} \widehat{F}(\underline{t}) \Big|_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B_1^{\mathbb{R}^d}(0)} \in L^2$$

$$\Rightarrow (1 + \|\underline{t}\|^2)^{\frac{s+2}{2}} \widehat{F} \in L^2$$

□

Def: $P = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} a_\alpha \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial x^\alpha}$ with $a_\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ is a constant coeff.

partial diff. operator of degree $\leq m$. It is degree m if it is degree $\leq m$ but not degree $\leq m-1$.

Def: A partial diff. oper. is called elliptic of order m if it is a partial diff. oper. of order m and

$$P_m(\underline{t}) = \sum_{|\alpha|=m} a_\alpha \underline{t}^\alpha \in \mathbb{C}[T_1, \dots, T_d] \text{ satisfies that}$$

$$P_m(\underline{t}) \neq 0 \text{ for all } \underline{t} \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}.$$

Δ is an elliptic p.d. op.

$$\Delta = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \dots + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_d^2}, \quad m=2$$

$$P_2(T_1, \dots, T_d) = T_1^2 + \dots + T_d^2$$

The same theorem generalises to elliptic p.d.o.

We want to prove $\left. \begin{array}{l} u \in H_0(O) \\ \Delta u \in H_s(O) \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow u \in H_{s+2}(O), O \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \text{ open}$

Recall: $F \in \mathcal{D}'(O), \psi \in C_c^\infty(O) \Rightarrow F \cdot \psi \in \mathcal{D}'(O)$

Actually: $F \cdot \psi \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$\langle F \cdot \psi, \varphi \rangle = \langle F, \underbrace{\psi \cdot \varphi}_{\in C_c^\infty(O)} \rangle$$

Continuity: $\varphi_n \rightarrow \varphi$ in \mathcal{S}'

$$\Rightarrow \psi \varphi_n \rightarrow \psi \varphi \quad \begin{array}{l} \in C_c^\infty(O) \\ \in C_c^\infty(O) \end{array}$$

$$\text{supp}(\psi \varphi_n) \subset \text{supp}(\psi) = K \subset O$$

$$\langle F, \psi \varphi_n \rangle \rightarrow \langle F, \psi \varphi \rangle = \langle F \psi, \varphi \rangle$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{"} \\ \langle F \psi, \varphi_n \rangle \end{array}$$

Def: We say $f \in \mathcal{D}'(O)$ is in $H_s^{loc}(O)$ if $\psi \cdot f \in H_s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for any $\psi \in C_c^\infty(O)$.

E.g. $C \in H_0^{loc}$
 $C^k \in H_k^{loc}$

Thm: Elliptic regularity for Δ on $0 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$.

Suppose $0 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ open & $u \in \mathcal{D}'(0)$ satisfies

(σ) $u \in H_\sigma^{\text{loc}}(0)$ for some $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ [not necessary]

(s) $\Delta u \in H_s^{\text{loc}}(0)$ for some $s \in \mathbb{R}$

then actually $u \in H_{s+2}^{\text{loc}}(0)$.

In particular, if $u \in H_\sigma^{\text{loc}}(0)$ and

or $\begin{cases} \cdot \Delta u \in C^\infty(0) \\ \cdot \Delta u = \lambda u \\ \cdot \Delta^n u \in H_s^{\text{loc}}(0) \text{ for all } n \end{cases}$

then $u \in C^\infty(0)$.

Pf: $\psi \in C_c^\infty(0)$

claim: $\Delta(\psi \cdot u) = (\Delta\psi) \cdot u + \psi(\Delta u) + 2 \sum_j \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \psi\right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} u\right)$

- $\psi \cdot u \in H_\sigma$ by (σ) ✓
- $(\Delta\psi) \cdot u \in H_\sigma$ by (σ) ✓
- $\psi(\Delta u) \in H_s$ by (s) ✓
- $2 \sum_j \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \psi\right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} u\right) \in H_{\sigma-1}$ (need to check!)

$\Rightarrow \psi \cdot u \in H_{\min(\sigma-1, s)+2}$
 \uparrow by Thm on \mathbb{R}^d .

$\Rightarrow \psi \cdot u \in H_{\min(\sigma+1, s+2)}$. $\forall \psi \in C_c^\infty \Rightarrow u \in H_{\min(\sigma+1, s+2)}^{\text{loc}}$

If $\sigma+1 < s+2$ then may replace σ by $\sigma+1$ w/o affecting the assumptions.

Iterate the argument until $\min(\sigma+k, s+2) = s+2$

Left to check:

- Equality in the claim
- $\sum \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \psi \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} u \right) \in H_{\text{loc}}^1$.